

# Relationship skills for adolescents: Health promotion through a college service learning course

Cami K. McBride, Carrie Miller & Valerie Vorderstrasse **Department of Psychology, Roosevelt University** 



## **Background**

Many youth lack relationship skills to negotiate dating challenges of adolescence and are often unprepared for longer term partner relationships in young adulthood (Crockett & Randall, 2006; Gardner, Giese & Parrott, 2004). Providing adolescents with relationship skills education, has been identified as a health promotion strategy. intended to reduce multiple at-risk behaviors. including substance abuse, early pregnancy, and sexually transmitted infections (Wolfe, Jaffe & Crooks, 2006). Adolescents who are better able to negotiate with romantic partners have been shown to engage in less risk-taking behavior (Giordano, Manning, Longmore, 2010). An innovative method of providing this relationship skills education to youth can occur through a service-learning course at the university level, in which students receive credit for community service (Nielsen et al., 2004). Below we discuss the results of our health promotion intervention conducted through a service learning course.

# **Objectives**

- Overall goals of the service learning course were to: 1) improve relationship skills in adolescents to reduce risky behavior. 2) help undergraduates understand the life experience of low income adolescents, and 3) provide undergraduates with a service experience that would encourage future service and volunteering. Please refer to McBride, Miller, & Vorderstrasse (2010) for results of goals 2 & 3.
- Specific goals relevant to this presentation (goal 1):
- 1) Provide relationship skills training based on manualized curriculum (Love U2: Relationship Smarts Plus; Pearson, 2007) to adolescents in Boys and Girls Clubs of Chicago (BGCC).
- 2) Examine adolescent session outcomes based on the curriculum learned through service-learning course and administered by undergraduates at the BGCC.

### Methods

- •The participants were adolescents between the ages of 13 and 18 from the BGCC.
- Undergraduate students taught lessons from the Love U2 curriculum to the adolescents at BGCC for an average of 7 sessions.
- Sessions were facilitated in a group format using games, role-playing, activities and discussion.
- ·Each adolescent, who was present for a session, completed questions asking about certain topics relevant to the day's session (e.g. maturity issues, relationships, communication challenges, etc.).
- •Questions were delivered via paper and pencil format. Adolescents recorded their answers on a Likert scale from 1 to 4, where 1 = "Was poor" or "Is poor" and 4 = "Was excellent" or "Is excellent".

### **Measures**

- · Measures were specific to the session content, and were conceptually and empirically related to improved relationship behavior and conflict resolution.
- · Measures used a post and retrospective pretest format. The retrospective pretest format prompts were: "Before participating in this program...", while post prompts were "After participating in this program..."
- · Sample items for session 9, "Establishing a Foundation for Good Communication" include:
- 1) "My awareness of communication patterns I learned while growing up."
- -2) "My knowledge of when to take a Time Out during an argument."
- 3) "My understanding of how to use the Speaker-Listener Technique to talk through an issue or problem."
- 4) "My awareness of how to facilitate good communication."
- 5) "My ability to listen and offer appreciations to build friendship in a relationship."
- Adolescents responded to an average of 5 items on the topic for each session.

#### Results

Lesson	N	M (Pre)	M (Post)	SD	t-value	P
1: Who am I and where am I going?	13	2.91	3.08	.48	-1.27	-
2: Maturity Issues/What I value	26	3.00	3.46	.66	-3.58	.001
3: Attractions and Infatuation	9	3.04	3.13	.71	373	-
4: Love and Intimacy	17	2.51	3.28	.87	-3.67	.002
5: Principles of smart relationships	24	2.76	3.34	.72	-3.98	.001
6: The low-risk relationship strategy (Decide, don't slide!)	26	2.70	3.26	.74	-3.86	.001
7: Is it a healthy relationship?	21	2.89	3.32	.85	-2.33	.031
8: Breaking up and Dating Abuse	19	2.92	3.47	.60	-4.06	.001
9: Establishing a foundation for good communication	25	2.70	3.30	.70	-4.32	.000
10: Communication Challenges	19	2.50	3.02	.81	-2.76	.013
11: Through the eyes of a child	16	2.88	3.41	.76	-2.84	.013
12: Looking toward the future: Healthy relationships/healthy marriage	14	2.86	3.31	.81	-2.12	.053

Table 1. Session data for adolescents from BGCC

## Results

We compared the adolescent's responses on pre to post for each session. We computed pre- and post means for each subject for each session. We found that adolescents' knowledge improved in nine of the 12 sessions (see Table 1). The adolescents' knowledge about various topics significantly improved after lessons 2, and 4 -11: Maturity issues/ What I value, Love and intimacy, Principles of smart relationships, The low-risk relationship strategy, Is it a healthy relationship?, Breaking up and Dating abuse. Establishing a foundation for good communication. Communication challenges, and Through the eyes of a child. Data were only approaching significance for session 12, Looking toward the future: Healthy relationships/healthy marriage. There was very little improvement after session 3. Attractions and Infatuation but the sample size was small (n=9). Sample sizes for each session ranged from 9 to 26, with a mean of 19.

### Discussion

We found that adolescents' knowledge improved in nine of the 12 sessions. The success of this intervention is promising for improving relationship knowledge and conflict resolution among adolescents, although additional longitudinal follow-up would indicate if the intervention knowledge is maintained. The positive intervention outcomes suggest that delivering a manualized, health promotion curriculum in the community via a service learning class is possible. Limitations of the study are the small sample size and the lack of behavioral change measures. Future research may wish to evaluate similar curricula with greater numbers of adolescents using a more rigorous pre-post -follow up design.

# References

- · Crockett, L. J. & Randall, B.A. (2006). Linking adolescent family and peer relationships to the quality of young adult romantic relationships: The mediating role of conflict tactics. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, 23, 761-780.
- · Gardner, S.P., Giese, K., & Parrott, S.M. (2004). Evaluation of the Connections: Relationships and Marriage Curriculum. Family Relations, 53, 521-527.
- · Giordano, P.C., Manning, W.D., & Longmore, M.A. (2010). Affairs of the heart: Qualities of adolescent romantic relationships and sexual behavior. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 20(4), 983-1013.
- McBride, C.K., Miller, C. & Vorderstrasse, V. (2010). Lessons learned from an ambitious service learning project. In S. A. Meyers (Ed.), Proceedings of the Roosevelt University Mini-Conference on Teaching (Vol. 7). Chicago: Roosevelt University.
- · Nielsen, A.J., Pinsof, W., Rampage, C., Solomon, A.H., & Goldstein, S. (2004). Marriage 101: An integrated academic and experiential Undergraduate Marriage Education course. Family Relations, 53, 485-494.
- Pearson, M. (2007), LoveU2: Getting smarter about relationships. Berkeley, CA: The Dibble Fund for Marriage
- · Wolfe, D.A, Jaffe, P.G., & Crooks, C. (2006). Adolescent risk behaviors: Why teens experiment and strategies to keep them safe. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

- •McCormick Tribune Service Learning Grant ·Boys and Girls Clubs of Chicago
- ·Adolescents and undergraduate participants